

## In-Class Problems — Week 10, Wed

**Problem 1.** Suppose there is a system with  $n$  components, and we know from past experience that any particular component will fail in a given year with probability  $p$ . That is, letting  $F_i$  be the event that the  $i$ th component fails within one year, we have

$$\Pr \{F_i\} = p$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The *system* will fail if *any one* of its components fails. What can we say about the probability that the system will fail within one year?

Let  $F$  be the event that the system fails within one year. Without any additional assumptions, we can't get an exact answer for  $\Pr \{F\}$ . However, we can give useful upper and lower bounds, namely,

$$p \leq \Pr \{F\} \leq np. \tag{1}$$

So for example, if  $n = 100$  and  $p = 10^{-5}$ , we conclude that there is at most one chance in 1000 of system failure within a year and at least one chance in 100,000.

Let's model this situation with the sample space  $\mathcal{S} ::= \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, n\})$  of subsets of positive integers  $\leq n$ , where  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  corresponds to the numbers of the components which fail within one year. For example,  $\{2, 5\}$  is the outcome that the second and fifth components failed within a year and none of the other components failed. So the outcome that the system did not fail corresponds to the emptyset,  $\emptyset$ .

(a) Show that the probability that the system fails could be as small as  $p$  by describing appropriate probabilities for the sample points.

(b) Show that the probability that the system fails could actually be as large as  $np$  by describing appropriate probabilities for the sample points.

(c) Prove the inequality (1).

**(WE DIDN'T GET TO THE NEXT TWO PROBLEMS IN CLASS ON WEDNESDAY.)**

**Problem 2.** Smith and Wesson are shooting at a target. Suppose Smith's chance of hitting the target is double that of his friend Wesson, and the probability that at least one of them hits the target is  $1/2$ . Whether or not one of them hits the target has no effect on the probability that the other one will hit. What is the probability that Wesson hits the target?

**Problem 3.** Carried over to [in-class Problem 1](#) Friday, Week 10.

## Probability Rules

### Events

$$\Pr \left\{ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr \{A_n\} \text{ for pairwise disjoint } A_n \quad (\text{Sum Rule})$$

$$\Pr \{A - B\} = \Pr \{A\} - \Pr \{A \cap B\} \quad (\text{Difference Rule})$$

$$\Pr \{\overline{B}\} = 1 - \Pr \{B\} \quad (\text{Complement Rule})$$

$$\Pr \{A \cup B\} = \Pr \{A\} + \Pr \{B\} - \Pr \{A \cap B\} \quad (\text{Inclusion-Exclusion})$$

$$\Pr \{A \cup B\} \leq \Pr \{A\} + \Pr \{B\} \quad (\text{Boole's inequality})$$

$$\Pr \{A\} \leq \Pr \{A \cup B\} \quad (\text{Monotonicity})$$

[Law of Total Probability] Let  $B_0, B_1, \dots$  be disjoint events whose union is the entire sample space. Then for all events  $A$ ,

$$\Pr \{A\} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr \{A \cap B_i\}.$$

### Conditional Probability

$$\Pr \{A \mid B\} ::= \frac{\Pr \{A \cap B\}}{\Pr \{B\}}$$

$$\Pr \{A \cap B\} = \Pr \{A \mid B\} \Pr \{B\} \quad (\text{Product Rule})$$

$$\Pr \{A \mid B\} = \frac{\Pr \{B \mid A\} \Pr \{A\}}{\Pr \{B\}} \quad (\text{Bayes Rule})$$

[Law of Total Probability - Conditional form] Let  $B_0, B_1, \dots$  be disjoint events whose union is the entire sample space. Then for all events  $A$ ,

$$\Pr \{A\} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr \{A \mid B_i\} \Pr \{B_i\}.$$