



# Conditional Probability & Independence



## Conditional Probability: Dice


“Knowledge” changes probabilities:

$$\Pr\{\text{die rolled } 1\} = 1/|\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}| = 1/6.$$

$$\Pr\{\text{die rolled } 1 \text{ knowing that die rolled odd number}\} = 1/|\{1,3,5\}| = 1/3.$$



## Conditional Probability: Dice



## Conditional Probability

$\Pr\{A | B\} ::=$   
probability of event  $A$  given that event  $B$  has occurred.  
Formally,

$$\Pr\{A | B\} ::= \frac{\Pr\{A \cap B\}}{\Pr\{B\}}$$



## Product Rule

$$\Pr\{A \cap B\} = \Pr\{A | B\} \Pr\{B\}$$



## Conditional Probability: Monty Hall

$$\Pr\{\text{prize at Door 1} | \text{Carol opens 2}\} = 1/2.$$

Really! Outcomes:

(Prize Door, Contestant Door, Carol Door)

$$[\text{Carol opens 2}] = \underbrace{\{(1,1,2), (1,3,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{18}}, \underbrace{\{(3,3,2), (3,1,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{9}}$$

$$\Pr = \frac{1}{18} \quad \Pr = \frac{1}{9}$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42

### Conditional Probability: Monty Hall

This suggests the contestant may as well **stick**, since the probability is  $1/2$  given what he knows at the moment of choosing.

**Not so:** Contestant knows *more* than door opened by Carol -- also knows **which door he chose** himself!

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42


### Conditional Probability: Monty Hall

$$\Pr\{\text{prize at Door 1} \mid \text{Contestant chose 1} \\ \& \text{ Carol opens 2}\} \\ = 1/3.$$

$$[\text{Contestant chose 1} \& \text{ Carol opens 2}] \\ = \underbrace{\{(1,1,2), (3,1,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{18}} \underbrace{\}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{9}}$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42

### Law of Total Probability



1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42

### Law of Total Probability

$$A = (B_1 \cap A) \cup (B_2 \cap A) \cup (B_3 \cap A) \\ \Pr\{A\} = \Pr\{B_1 \cap A\} + \Pr\{B_2 \cap A\} \\ + \Pr\{B_3 \cap A\} \\ = \Pr\{A|B_1\} \cdot \Pr\{B_1\} \\ + \Pr\{A|B_2\} \cdot \Pr\{B_2\} \\ + \Pr\{A|B_3\} \cdot \Pr\{B_3\}$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42

### Law of Total Probability

Let  $S$  be the disjoint union of  $B_0, B_1, \dots$ . Then

$$\Pr\{A\} = \sum_{i \in N} \Pr\{A \cap B_i\} \\ = \sum_{i \in N} \Pr\{A | B_i\} \Pr\{B_i\}.$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42

### In-class Problem

# Problem 1

# Independence

## Independent Events

$A$ : Baby born at Mass General Hospital  
between 1:00 am and 1:05 am.

$B$ : Jupiter's moon IO is full.

## Independent Events

Does Event  $A$  (baby is born)  
have **anything to do** with  
Event  $B$  (IO is full)?

**Of course not!**

## Babies & Full Moons

So the events are *independent*:  
IO phase has **no effect** on birth  
frequency.

## Babies & Full Moons

But **wait a minute**:  
My sweet Aunt Daisy believed in  
Astrology. She thought celestial  
events could influence babies.

We would say “nonsense,”  
there's no effect.

## Babies & Full Moons

**Wait another minute!** Physics says there  
**IS** an effect:  
IO full and IO “new” are **different distances**  
from Earth.

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

C:\42\pub\jup-radio\_070115.htm

**\*\* INFORMATION FOR AMATEUR  
RADIO ASTRONOMERS \*\* JUPITER  
DECAMETRIC EMISSIONS \*\***  
JUPITER EPHEMERIS 01 Jul 1994,  
0000UTC, Julian Day: 2449534.5, GMT  
Sidereal Time: 18h35m17s ....

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

C:\42\pub\jup-radio\_070115.htm

SUMMARY: Jupiter's HF emissions are  
...heard on earth when Jupiter's magnetic  
field "sweeps" the earth every 9h55m27s  
and at other times when **Io's geometric  
position influences activity.**

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

### Babies & Full Moons

**It's True:** IO's **magnetic field** and  
**gravitational pull** on the baby are  
**different in different phases!**  
**But their influence on birth times**  
**is undetectable.**

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

### Babies & Full Moons

If we compared

- **All daily birth statistics**
- **daily birth statistics** when  
IO was full,

we would see **no difference!**

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

### Babies & Full Moons

Baby frequency betw 1&1:05AM  
is **same** when IO is full:  
 $\Pr\{\text{Baby born 1-1:05AM} \mid \text{IO is full}\}$   
 $= \Pr\{\text{Baby born 1-1:05AM}\}$   
***A and B are independent!***

6	7	8
9	10	11
12	13	14

### Definitions of Independence

#### Definition 1:

Events  $A$  and  $B$  are independent iff

$$\Pr\{A\} = \Pr\{A \mid B\}.$$

#### Definition 2:

Events  $A$  and  $B$  are independent iff

$$\Pr\{A\} \cdot \Pr\{B\} = \Pr\{A \cap B\}.$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Definitions of Independence

Equivalent:

$$\Pr\{A\} = \Pr\{A \mid B\} \quad \text{iff}$$

$$\Pr\{A\} = \frac{\Pr\{A \cap B\}}{\Pr\{B\}} \quad \text{iff}$$

$$\Pr\{A\} \cdot \Pr\{B\} = \Pr\{A \cap B\}.$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Definitions of Independence

**Small bug:** need  $\Pr\{B\} \neq 0$  for Def. 1.

Def. 2 works even if 0:

$$\Pr\{A\} \cdot \Pr\{B\} = \Pr\{A \cap B\}.$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Independence

$$\Pr\{A\} \cdot \Pr\{B\} = \Pr\{A \cap B\}.$$

**Symmetric!** So,

$A$  independent of  $B$  iff

$B$  independent of  $A$ .

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Independence

**Quickies:** Reflexive? Transitive?

Intuition for Symmetry?

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Independence

$A$  is *independent* of  $B$  means it is independent of **whether** or **not**  $B$  occurs:

$A$  independent of  $B$  iff

$A$  independent of  $\overline{B}$ .

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

## Independence

$A$  independent of  $B$  iff

$A$  independent of  $\overline{B}$ .

Simple proof using:

$$\Pr\{A - B\} = \Pr\{A\} - \Pr\{A \cap B\}.$$

**DO IT NOW!**

### The Birthday “Paradox”

**Puzzle:**  $n$  students in a room.

What is the probability that two students have the same birthday (month, day)

for  $n = 2, 10, 23, 30, 107$ ?

### The Birthday “Paradox”

So with 10 students have  $10/365 \approx 1/30$  chance 2 have same b'day?

**Not really**, it's more like  $1/10$ .

With 30 students, maybe  $3 \cdot (30/365) \approx 1/3$  chance?

**No**, it's more than **2 to 1!**

### The Birthday “Paradox”

Let's stop guessing and figure it out.

Choose 2 students at random.

Pr{students have **different** birthday}?

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{365}$$

### The Birthday “Paradox”

We're assuming MIT students are **equally likely** to have each of **365 possible birthdays**.

(Also, probability student has any particular birthday is **independent of taking 6.042 this term.**)

### The Birthday “Paradox”

Not really same for each month:

140 students in the 6.042 class reported their birthdays.

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## Class Birthdays

November twice as popular  
as February.  
But close enough.

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## The Birthday “Paradox”

So we’ll assume that if we choose  
2 students at random:  
 $\Pr\{\text{students have the same birthday}\}$

$$= \frac{1}{365}$$

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## The Birthday “Paradox”

Choose **another** 2 students **independently** of  
first two.

$\Pr\{\text{neither pair has same birthday}\}?$

$= \Pr\{\text{1st pair not same birthday and}$   
**2nd pair not same birthday}\}**

$= \Pr\{\text{1st pair not same birthday}\} \times$   
 $\Pr\{\text{2nd pair not same birthday}\}$

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## The Birthday “Paradox”

$\Pr\{\text{neither pair has same birthday}\}$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^2$$

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## The Birthday “Paradox”

Choose **another** 253 **pairs** of students  
**independently** of first pairs.

$\Pr\{\text{no pair has same birthday}\}?$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^{253} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

6	12	18	24
13	19	25	
20	26	31	
27	3	9	15

## The Birthday “Paradox”

But with  $n = 23$  students, have

$$\binom{23}{2} = 253 \text{ pairs of students.}$$



### The Birthday “Paradox”

So, with 23 students:

$$\Pr\{\text{no pair has same birthday}\} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$\Pr\{\text{some pair has same birthday}\}$

$$\approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$



### The Birthday “Paradox”

With 140 students

$\Pr\{\text{no pair has same birthday}\}$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^{\binom{140}{2}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^{9730}$$



### The Birthday “Paradox”

With 140 students

$\Pr\{\text{no pair has same birthday}\}$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^{9730} \approx e^{-\left(\frac{9730}{365}\right)}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{400,000,000,000}$$



### The Birthday “Paradox”

In fact have 17 pairs and 2 triples of students in 6.042 with same birthday:

- Jan 1
- Jan 8
- Feb 16
- Feb 23
- Mar 3
- Mar 10
- Apr 16
- Apr 18
- May 17
- Jun 3
- Jun 9
- Jul 25
- Aug 19
- Sep 4
- Sep 22
- Oct 29
- Nov 4
- Nov 14
- Dec 21



### The Birthday “Paradox”

**Wait!** Whether a pair of students in 6.042 has same birthday is **not independent** of other pairs:

If (Joy, Jen) have same b’day, and (Joy, Mike) do too, then

$$\Pr\{(\text{Jen, Mike}) \text{ same b’day}\} = 1.$$

## The Birthday “Paradox”

Only **non-overlapping** pairs have independent probabilities of same b’day.

## The Birthday “Paradox”

**But**

as long as  $\#students \ll \# birthdays$ ,  
say  $23 \ll 365$ ,  
pairs w/same b’day **not likely to overlap**,  
so **act like independent**.

## The Birthday “Paradox”

Accurate formulas for  
 $\Pr\{\text{some pair has same b’day}\}$   
are in Notes 10.

## In-class Problem

**Problems 2 & 3**  
(We didn’t get to these in class.)